RG104 E-1 Box 150

5-8 Received
60 asay "
2.16.85
a owner
Dept United States

No 166 Baymiller
Feby 1st 86

To the Supt U.S. Mint:-

Dear Sir:-

I sent you a package gold bullion on Jany 19th 86. I have been away from home and this is my first opportunity to write you concerning it. I sent some gold bullion in Aug. of 1886 and the memorandum returned me was of gold bullion. While this consignment is a memorandum of silver bullion. which I inclose for your inspection. under the head of fineness (1000ths) should the gold be rated as 467 or 274½? It hardly seems

RG104 E-1 Box 150

possible that the gold could be of so low a grade as the major part of it was fourteen and eighteen carat. there may possibly be a mistake. (to me. a matter of several hundred dollars) in placing the grade on the memorandum trusting you will give this your attention. and believe me I will be very grateful

Sincerely

Mrs Mary Turner
166 Baymiller St.
Cin. O.

Please return mem. to me in your reply.

RG104 E-1 Box 150

182

Cincinnati. O.
Feb. 1. 1888.

Mary Immer.

Complains of insufficient returns for deposits.

RECEIVED
FEB 6 1888
U. S. MINT, PHILA.

RG104 E-1 Box 150

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,

8th Feby, 1888.

Dear Sir

In reference to the letter of Mrs. Mary Turner I would respectfully state that in compliance with the instructions from the Director of the Mint we are required to enter the bullion on our books according to its fineness. If the deposit has a greater fineness of silver then it must be entered as a silver deposit or if the fineness of the gold exceed the silver it must be a gold deposit. The deposit in question had an excess of silver and to be made a silver deposit. The Silver on the memorandum is 467 fine and the gold 274/1 The deposit has been reassayed and the assays are correct Now the gold may have been fourteen or Eighteen Karats but putting it with silver it would seem much lower than it really is. The silver would reduce the fineness according to the weight of the silver. If there was above 97 ounces of Gold Jewelry in this it would make it 14 Karats above. We re-

RG104 E-1 Box 150

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,

8th Feby, 1888

turned three ounces of steel watch springs found in the cases. I guess you will find your deposits satisfactory if examined as I have stated. There is no error here, in the fineness or calculation or weights

Very Respectfully
M A Leaman
Ass clerk

Hon Daniel M Fox
Supt
U S Mint

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 150

[Letter One:]
[Abstract:] Complains of insufficient returns for deposit.

No. 166 Baymiller
February 1, 1888

To the Supt. U.S. Mint: -

Dear Sir: -

I sent you a package gold bullion on January 19, 1888. I have been away from home and this is my first opportunity to write you concerning it. I send some gold bullion in Aug. of 1886 and the memorandum returned me was of gold bullion. While this consignment is a memorandum of silver bullion which I enclose for your inspection. Under the head of fineness (1000ths) should the gold be rated as 467 or 274 ½ ? It hardly seems possible that the gold could be of so low a grade as the major part of it was fourteen and eighteen carat. There may possibly be a mistake (to me, a matter of several hundred dollars) in placing the grade on the memorandum. Trusting you will give this your attention, and believe me I will be very grateful.

Sincerely,
Mrs. Mary Turner
166 Baymiller St.
Cin. O.

Please return mem. to me in your reply.

NARA RG104, Entry 1, Box 150

[Letter Two:]

Mint of the United States at Philadelphia,
February 8, 1888

Dear Sir

In reference to the letter of Mrs. Mary Turner I would respectfully state that in compliance with the instructions from the Director of the Mint we are required to enter the bullion on our books according to its fineness. If the deposit has a greater fineness of silver then it must be entered as a silver deposit or if the fineness of the gold exceeds the silver it must be a gold deposit. The deposit in question had an excess of silver & had to be made a silver deposit. The silver on the memorandum is 467 fine and the gold 274 ½. The deposit has been reassayed and the assays are correct not the gold may have been fourteen or eighteen karats but putting it with silver it would seem much lower than it really is. The silver would reduce the fineness according to the weight of the silver. If there was above 97 ounces of Gold Jewelry in this it would make it 14 Karats about. We returned nine ounces of steel watch springs found in the cases. I guess you will find your deposit satisfactory if examined as I have stated. There is no error here in the fineness or calculation or weight.

Very Respectfully
H.S. Cochran
W. Clerk

Hon. Danl. M. Fox
Supt.
U.S. Mint